Appendix 3: North Coast Regional Environmental Plan 1988 assessment for consistency

Plan preparation principles	Consistent	Comment
Agricultural resources	Yes	Proposal does not involve rural land
Catchment management	Yes	Adjacent creek already contained within
		environmental buffer zone.
Geological resources	Yes	Proposal does not involve rural land.
Rural housing	Yes	Proposal does not involve rural land or land
		zoned for environmental protection, scenic
		protection or escarpment preservation.
Forestry	Yes	Proposal does not involve rural land and does
		not propose to zone land adjacent to timber
		processing plants for residential purposes.
The natural environment	Yes	Proposal does not alter provisions for
		environmental protection or include significant
		areas of natural vegetation.
Coastal development	Yes	Proposal does not involve land identified with a
		coastal hazard. NSW Coastal Policy 1997 is not
		applicable.
Strategic planning	Yes	Proposal is consistent with:
		the PMHC Urban Growth Management
		Strategy
		Council's adopted Retail Policy
		NC REP principles for urban zones.
Urban housing	Yes	Proposal is consistent with the NC REP
		principles for housing
Environmental hazards Commercial and industrial development	Yes	Development for tourism, rural housing or urban
		purposes in areas subject to environmental
		hazard is either avoided or subject to provisions
	N	in the LEP to minimise adverse impact.
	Yes	Proposal takes into account the principles for
		commercial and industrial development.
		Proposal does not substantially reduce existing
Tall buildings	Yes	zonings of land for industrial development. The necessity for height controls on buildings
	Tes	has been considered and appropriate controls
		included.
Transport	Yes	The proposal does not alter provisions relating
Папърон	165	to arterial roads.
		Provisions related to land in the vicinity of
		airports already in LEP.
		The alteration to zoning does not give rise to the
		need for further bus services or the revision of
		existing bus services other than already
		envisaged.
Utility services	Yes	Council is satisfied the land is adequately
		serviced.
Health and education	Yes	Council is satisfied the land has adequate
		access to health and education facilities.
Community services	Yes	Proposal does not alter the anticipated
		population for Thrumster.
Tourism	Yes	The proposal intends to rezone land for tourism
		development. This area is currently zoned B2
		Local Centre. Council is satisfied the land is
		without features worthy of preservation and is